Advanced Topics in Applied Probability

- Introduction to Lattice Models

Exercises denoted by (\star) are harder or use additional theory.

Exercises – Set 4

1. (Self-avoiding walks (SAW)) A self-avoiding walk (SAW) is a lattice path that visits no vertex more than once. Let $\sigma_n := \#\{\text{SAW of length } n \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ starting from the origin}\}$. Show that $\sigma_{n+m} \leq \sigma_n \sigma_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and using this, prove that the following limit exists:

$$d \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n^{1/n} \leq 2d - 1.$$

Can you find better bounds for this limit?

2. (Kolmogorov's zero-one law and ergodicity) Consider a countably infinite graph G = (V, E). Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^E$ be endowed with the cylinder sigma-algebra \mathcal{F} . Define the tail sigma-algebra as

$$\mathcal{T} := \bigcap_{\substack{E' \subset E \\ \text{finite subset}}} \sigma\{\omega(e) \, | \, e \notin E'\}.$$

Events $A \in \mathcal{T}$ are called *tail events*.

- (a) Consider percolation on Ω . Show that $\{\exists \text{ an infinite cluster}\}\$ is a tail event.
- (b) Show that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a sequence $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ defined on finite sets $E_n \subset E$ such that $\mathbb{P}[A\Delta A_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where $A\Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ is the symmetric difference.
- (c) Let $(X(e))_{e \in E}$ be i.i.d. random variables on Ω . Using (b), show that $A \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $\mathbb{P}[A] \in \{0,1\}$.
- (d) Suppose now that $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$. We say that $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is translation invariant if

$$A = \pi_x A := \{ \pi_x(\omega) \mid \omega \in A \}$$
 for all translations $\pi_x(y) := y + x, \quad x, y \in V,$

where $\pi_x(\omega) := (\omega(\pi_{-x}(e)))_{e \in E}$. A probability measure \mathbb{P} on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is called *ergodic* if we have $\mathbb{P}[A] \in \{0, 1\}$ for all translation invariant events $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Prove that percolation on Ω is ergodic.

3. (Influence and Russo's formula) Consider percolation \mathbb{P}_p on edges of a finite graph G=(V,E) with $p\in(0,1)$. The (conditional) influence of an edge $e\in E$ for an event A is

$$I_A(e) := \mathbb{P}_p[A \,|\, \omega(e) = 1] - \mathbb{P}_p[A \,|\, \omega(e) = 0].$$

(a) Show that if A is an increasing event, then

$$I_{A}(e) = \mathbb{P}_{p}[\omega^{e} \in A] - \mathbb{P}_{p}[\omega_{e} \in A] = \mathbb{P}_{p}[\mathbf{1}_{A}(\omega^{e}) \neq \mathbf{1}_{A}(\omega_{e})],$$
where
$$\omega^{e}(e') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e' = e, \\ \omega(e') & \text{if } e' \neq e, \end{cases}$$

$$\omega_{e}(e') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e' = e, \\ \omega(e') & \text{if } e' \neq e. \end{cases}$$

(b) An edge is called *pivotal* for A if $\mathbf{1}_A(\omega^e) \neq \mathbf{1}_A(\omega_e)$. Show that if A is increasing, then this is equivalent to $\omega^e \in A$ and $\omega_e \notin A$. Then show that for any increasing event A, we have

$$\liminf_{\delta \to 0} \ \frac{\mathbb{P}_{p+\delta}[A] - \mathbb{P}_p[A]}{\delta} \geq \mathbb{E}[\#\{e \in E \text{ pivotal for } A\}].$$

[Hint: Perturb $\mathbb{P}[\omega(e)=1]$ first only for edges in a finite box and use Russo's formula there. Then take a limit.]

- 4. (**) (Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem) Consider Wilson's algorithm on a finite connected graph G = (V, E) for generating a sample of a spanning tree T on G, with some enumeration of the vertices $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n, t\}$, with t the last (root) vertex, and with transition probabilities $p_{u,v}$ for the random walk. For $A \subset V$, let \mathcal{G}_A be the Green's function (cf. Exercise 5, Set 2).
 - (a) Suppose $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m)$ are the branches of T produced by the algorithm, generated in this order. Concatenate all of them to obtain the ordered set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\ell_m}\}$ of vertices visited by the algorithm; so as vertex-paths, $\eta_1 = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\ell_1}), \ \eta_2 = (u_{\ell_1+1}, u_{\ell_1+2}, \dots, u_{\ell_2}), \dots$, so that $u_{\ell_1} = t$ is the root (by Wilson's algorithm) and the last step of each of the other walks $\eta_2, \eta_3, \dots, \eta_m$ belongs to the already generated collection (in particular, the vertices in the ordered set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\ell_m}\}$ are not distinct). Show that the probability to obtain this sample is

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{j=\ell_{k-1}+1}^{\ell_k-1} p_{u_j,u_{j+1}} \mathcal{G}_{A_j}(u_j,u_j),$$

where $A_1 = \{u_0\}$, $A_2 = \{u_0, u_1\}$, $A_3 = \{u_0, u_1, u_2\}$, ..., $A_n = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$, writing the root as $u_0 = t$ and using the convention that $\ell_0 = 0$.

(b) Show that for any $A \subset V$ and $u \in V \setminus A$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_A(u,u) = \frac{\det \left(-\Delta^{(A \cup \{u\})} \right)}{\det \left(-\Delta^{(A)} \right)}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian operator (cf. Exercise 5, Set 2) regarded as an $(|V| \times |V|)$ -matrix, and $\Delta^{(B)}$ is the minor of Δ obtained by removing the rows and columns associated to vertices in B.

(c) Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{|V|-1}$ be the non-zero eigenvalues of Δ (all are negative). Show that

$$\#\{T\subset G\mid T \text{ is a spanning tree of }G\}=rac{1}{|V|}\prod_{j=1}^{|V|-1}(-\lambda_j).$$

5. (*) (Markov Chain - Tree Theorem) Let X be an irreducible Markov chain in a finite state space S, with associated oriented graph $\overrightarrow{H} = (S, \overrightarrow{E})$ having vertex set S, edge set $\overrightarrow{E} = \{ \langle e_-, e_+ \rangle \mid p_{e_-, e_+} > 0 \}$, and p_{e_-, e_+} being the transition probabilities of X. The Markov Chain - Tree Theorem asserts that the stationary measure of X on S is proportional to

$$\pi_x \propto \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_x} \alpha(A)$$
 where $\alpha(A) = \prod_{\overline{\langle e_-, e_+ \rangle} \in A} p_{e_-, e_+},$ (1)

where \mathcal{A}_x is the set of spanning arborescences of \overrightarrow{H} with root x. This can be proven using an auxiliary Markov chain on the space $\mathcal{A} = \prod_{x \in S} \mathcal{A}_x$ as follows. For each $\overline{\langle r, x \rangle} \in \overrightarrow{E}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, denote

$$F(A, \langle \overrightarrow{r, x} \rangle) := (A \cup \{\langle \overrightarrow{r, x} \rangle\}) \setminus \{\langle \overrightarrow{x, y} \rangle\} \in \mathcal{A}_x,$$

where y is the unique neighbor vertex of x such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in A$. Define a Markov chain Y on the space $A = \prod_{x \in S} A_x$ via the transition probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}\big[Y_n = F(A, \overrightarrow{\langle r, x \rangle}) \,|\, Y_{n-1} = A\big] := p_{r,x}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \overrightarrow{\langle r, x \rangle} \in \overrightarrow{E}, \ A \in \mathcal{A}_r.$$

- (a) Show that the Markov chain Y on \mathcal{A} is irreducible.
- (b) Show that the weight $\alpha(A)$ gives the stationary measure for Y.
- (c) Conclude that (1) gives the stationary measure for the original Markov chain X.

Upon finding mistakes and/or typos, please contact me!