

Advanced Topics in Stochastic Analysis

- Introduction to Schramm-Loewner evolution

Mondays 12–14 and Thursdays 8–10 in *Endenicher Allee 60* - SemR 1.008

Exercises – Set 9

In this exercise sheet, we will discuss the ingredients to prove that $SLE(\kappa)$ is almost surely generated by a (continuous transient) curve, for any $\kappa \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{8\}$. Unfortunately, the proof fails for $\kappa = 8$, as we'll see.

Theorem. *Let $\kappa \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{8\}$. The $SLE(\kappa)$ is almost surely generated by a curve γ .*

Notation:

- $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the Loewner chain associated to the SLE with the following parameterization:

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{a}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z, \quad z \in H_t,$$

where $a = 2/\kappa$, the driving function is $W_t = -B_t$, and K_t are the hulls and $H_t := \mathbb{H} \setminus K_t$.

- $(h_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is the solution to the *reverse* LE (this is almost the same as “backward LE”)

$$\partial_t h_t(z) = \frac{-a}{h_t(z) - W_t}, \quad h_0(z) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

- We denote $f_t(z) := g_t^{-1}(z)$ and $\hat{f}_t(z) := g_t^{-1}(z + W_t)$. Note that LE for g_t gives an ODE for $(f_t)_{t \geq 0}$:

$$\partial_t f_t(w) = \frac{-a f'_t(w)}{w - W_t}, \quad f_0(w) = w, \quad w \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (1)$$

- For all $(y, t) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$, we denote by $V(y, t) := \hat{f}_t(iy)$.
- We make a dyadic partitioning of $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{2n} := \{k2^{-2n} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2n}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We are going to control the values of V when $y = 2^{-n} > 0$ is small and the time scale is as in $\mathcal{D}_{2n}$.

Our goal: By [2] Proposition 4.28], the Theorem follows if we show that V is well-defined and continuous as $y \searrow 0$, so that the curve

$$\gamma(t) := \lim_{y \searrow 0} V(y, t) = \lim_{y \searrow 0} g_t^{-1}(iy + W_t)$$

generating the hulls $(K_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is well-defined and f_t extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.

To establish the goal, it suffices to find a bound function $\delta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \delta(\epsilon) = 0$ and

$$|V(y, t) - V(x, s)| \leq \delta(x + y + |t - s|), \quad t, s \in [0, 1], \quad x, y > 0. \quad (2)$$

By [2] Lemma 4.32], it turns out that to get this estimate, the following ingredients are sufficient:

- (a): There exists a sequence $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $r_n > 0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{r_n}}{\log r_n} = 0$, and
- (b): $|\hat{f}'_t(i2^{-n})| \leq 2^n r_n$, for all $t \in \mathcal{D}_{2n}$, and
- (c): there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $|W_{t+s} - W_t| \leq c\sqrt{n} 2^{-n}$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, 2^{-2n}]$.

We'll see why in Exercises 6–9 below.

Exercises, Part 1: We establish properties (a), (b), (c) for the SLE.

0. Check that for fixed time $t \geq 0$, the function $z \mapsto \hat{f}'_t(z)$ and the function $z \mapsto h'_t(z)$ have the same law (but it is not true that the joint law of $(\hat{f}'_t(z))_{t \geq 0}$ and the joint law of $(h'_t(z))_{t \geq 0}$ would be the same!). Therefore, instead of estimating $|\hat{f}'_t(z)|$, it suffices to estimate $|h'_t(z)|$.

1. **Set-up:** For fixed $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we consider the process $Z_t = h_t(z) - W_t$ solving the SDE

$$Z_0 = z, \quad dZ_t = -\frac{a}{Z_t} dt + dB_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(Because $t \mapsto \operatorname{Im} Z_t$ is increasing, this is OK for all times.) This is more useful after the time-change $\iota(t) := \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid \frac{\operatorname{Im} Z_s}{\operatorname{Im}(z)} = e^{at}\}$. Then the imaginary part of $\tilde{Z}_t := Z_{\iota(t)}$ is exponentially increasing:

$$\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Z}_t = \operatorname{Im}(z)e^{at}, \quad d(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{Z}_t) = -a(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{Z}_t) dt + |\tilde{Z}_t| d\tilde{B}_t,$$

where $\tilde{B}$ is standard 1D BM. It is useful to consider

$$\tilde{K}_t := \frac{\operatorname{Re} \tilde{Z}_t}{\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Z}_t} = \frac{e^{-at} \operatorname{Re} \tilde{Z}_t}{\operatorname{Im}(z)}, \quad \tilde{L}_t := \sqrt{\tilde{K}_t^2 + 1}$$

which satisfy the SDEs

$$d\tilde{K}_t = -2a\tilde{K}_t dt + \tilde{L}_t d\tilde{B}_t, \quad d\tilde{L}_t = \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{L}_t - \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2a\right) \frac{\tilde{K}_t^2}{\tilde{L}_t}\right) dt + \tilde{K}_t d\tilde{B}_t.$$

To simplify this, we can write

$$\tilde{J}_t := \sinh^{-1} \tilde{K}_t \quad \implies \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{K}_t = \sinh \tilde{J}_t \\ \tilde{L}_t = \cosh \tilde{J}_t, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d\tilde{J}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{2} + 2a\right) \tanh \tilde{J}_t dt + d\tilde{B}_t.$$

Finally, the process $\tilde{h}_t := h_{\iota(t)}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \log |\tilde{h}'_t(z)| = a \frac{(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{Z}_t)^2 - (\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Z}_t)^2}{|\tilde{Z}_t|^2} = a \left(1 - \frac{2}{\tilde{L}_t^2}\right) = a \left(1 - \frac{2}{(\cosh \tilde{J}_t)^2}\right) = a \left(2(\tanh \tilde{J}_t)^2 - 1\right)$$

Task: Prove that the following process is a *martingale*:

$$\tilde{M}_t = |\tilde{h}'_t(z)|^p (\operatorname{Im} \tilde{Z}_t)^{p-\frac{r}{a}} (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_t)^{-2r}, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\Theta}_t := \arg(\tilde{Z}_t)$$

and $(p, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfy $r^2 - (1 + 2a)r + ap = 0$. [Hint: Identify $\sin \tilde{\Theta}_t$ with an expression involving $\tilde{J}_t$.]

2. **Task:** Prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{h}'_t(z)|^p (\sin \tilde{\Theta}_t)^{-2r} \right] = \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{|z|} \right)^{-2r} \exp \left(-at \left(p - \frac{r}{a} \right) \right)$$

and if $p, r \geq 0$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left[|\tilde{h}'_t(z)| \geq \lambda \right] \leq \lambda^{-p} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{|z|} \right)^{-2r} \exp \left(-at \left(p - \frac{r}{a} \right) \right), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (3)$$

3. Using the estimate (3), one can obtain the following estimate for the derivative h'_t in the original time parameterization (see [2] Corollary 7.3 and [1] Corollary 5.1): For every $r \in [0, 1 + 2a]$, there exists a constant $c(\kappa, r) \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y \in (0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in [e^6, \frac{1}{y}]$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} [|h'_t(x + iy)| \geq \lambda] \leq c\lambda^{-p} \left(\frac{y}{|x + iy|} \right)^{-2r} \delta(y, \lambda), \quad (4)$$

where $p = p(r) = \frac{1}{a}((1+2a)r - r^2) \geq 0$ and

$$\delta(y, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-p+\frac{r}{a}}, & p - \frac{r}{a} > 0, \\ 1 + \log \frac{1}{\lambda y}, & p - \frac{r}{a} = 0, \\ y^{p-\frac{r}{a}}, & p - \frac{r}{a} < 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $a = 2/\kappa$. We still have freedom to choose the parameter $r \geq 0$. Note that by choosing $r = r_0 = \frac{1+4a}{4} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{\kappa}$, which maximises the quantity $2p - \frac{r}{a}$, we have

$$2p(r_0) - \frac{r_0}{a} = \kappa r_0 \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\kappa} \right) - r_0 \right) = \kappa r_0^2 \geq 2$$

and $\kappa r_0^2 = 2$ if and only if $\kappa = 8$.

Task: Verify that if $\kappa \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{8\}$, then choosing these $(r_0, p(r_0))$, the estimate (4) gives for $x = 0$, $y = 2^{-n}$, and $\lambda = 2^{n(1-\alpha)}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough and $\alpha \in (0, 1 - \frac{2}{2p(r_0)-r_0/a})$ small enough,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[|h'_t(i2^{-n})| \geq 2^{n(1-\alpha)} \right] \leq c 2^{-n(2+\varepsilon)}, \quad (5)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. [NB: There are two different cases: $\kappa < 8$ and $\kappa > 8$.]

4. **Task:** Using the dyadic partitioning $\mathcal{D}_{2n}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, show that (5) implies that for any α small enough, there exists a random variable C such that almost surely, $C < \infty$ and

$$|h'_t(i2^{-n})| \leq C 2^{n(1-\alpha)}, \quad t \in \mathcal{D}_{2n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

5. **Task:** Conclude that all properties (a), (b), (c) indeed hold.

Exercises, Part 2: Why do properties (a), (b), (c) imply our goal?

Let's begin by arguing backwards: Let $t \in [0, 1]$, $s \in [0, 2^{-2n}]$ and $0 < x, y \leq 2^{-n}$ and write

$$|\hat{f}_t(iy) - \hat{f}_{t+s}(ix)| \leq |\hat{f}_t(iy) - \hat{f}_t(i2^{-n})| + |\hat{f}_t(i2^{-n}) - \hat{f}_{t+s}(i2^{-n})| + |\hat{f}_{t+s}(i2^{-n}) - \hat{f}_{t+s}(ix)|. \quad (6)$$

6. **Task:** Estimate the middle term in (6) in terms of $\sup_{u \in [t, t+s]} |\hat{f}'_t(i2^{-n})|$, by using the ODE (1) for f_t .

7. **Task:** Estimate the first term in (6) in terms of $\sup_{v \in [2^{-j}, 2^{-j+1}]} |\hat{f}'_t(iv)|$, with a sum over $j = n, n+1, \dots$
(The third term can be estimated similarly.)

8. **Tools:** Using property (b), the ODE (1) for f_t , and Gronwall's Area theorem, one can show that

$$|\hat{f}'_t(i2^{-n} + W_k 2^{-2n})| \leq e^{6 \cdot 2^n r_n}, \quad t \in [k 2^{-2n}, (k+1) 2^{-2n}], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{-2n} - 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using Koebe distortion theorem, one can show that for any conformal map φ on $\mathbb{H}$, we have

$$|\varphi'(w)| \leq 144^{\frac{|z-w|}{y}+1} |\varphi'(z)|, \quad \text{Im}(z), \text{Im}(w) \geq y > 0.$$

Task: Using these facts and property (c), prove that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$|\hat{f}'_t(i2^{-n})| \leq c e^{\beta \sqrt{n} 2^n r_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and furthermore,

$$|\hat{f}'_t(iy)| \leq c e^{\beta \sqrt{n} 2^n r_n}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad y \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7)$$

9. **Task:** Conclude using (7) that all terms in the expression (6) have the desired bound, so (2) holds.

References

- [1] Antti Kemppainen. Schramm-Loewner evolution. SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics, 2017.
<http://wiki.helsinki.fi/display/mathphys/sle-book>
- [2] Gregory Lawler. Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane. American Mathematical Society, 2005.
<http://pi.math.cornell.edu/~lawler/book.ps>